Effects of beetles on water resources in north-central Colorado

John D. Stednick, Ryan Jensen, Kelly McElwaine, Kate Condon, Ariann Blankenship, and Fred the Field Truck Watershed Science Colorado State University



Current Situation

- > Approx. 95% of CO lodgepole pine infected
- Colorado River still in drought condition
- In-state and downstream users looking for more water, blame the beetle
- Effects of beetle-killed forest on water resources?

Not the first time in Colorado

- Beetle infestation in White River in 1939
- Moved into other areas but skipped Elk River
- Paired catchments: treatment vs control
- Significant increase in annual water yield
 - (50 and 40 mm: White and Yampa)
- Peakflow increases of 27% on White not Yampa
- Love 1955; Bethlahmy 1974

Water Resources Concerns

Uncertain water yields over last decade
 Beetles or GCV?

- Large openings and snow scour
- > Peak flow increases modeled in BC
- Water quality changes
- > Wildfire danger
- Erosion and sediment delivery

Quick Review

- Beetle-kill effects are similar to harvesting
- Timber harvesting will decrease interception and evapotranspiration
- Threshold of response is 20% basal area removal for measurable response
- Response is proportional to level harvested clearcut or thinning
- Increase yield on rising limb
- Downstream measurements of increased water yields are problematic

King Creek Plot Study

- Comparison of different harvest levels to increase SWE
- Established 5- 1 acre plots (4 replicates) in mature Lodgepole pine in 1938
- Snowpack accumulation, interception, throughfall, and soil moisture.
- Logged in 1940 leaving residual volumes of 0, 2000, 4000, and 6000 board feet/acre (fbm).

110 Year Old Stand 32 sq. m per ha BA





Wilm and Dunford, 1948

Results

- > 26% increase in SWE on clearcut plots.
- Loss of trees (canopy cover):
 - Decreased canopy interception
 - Decreased sublimation loss.
 - Decreased ET loss during growing season.

Minimal effect from redistribution of snow



Adapted from: Hewlett, 1969

Paired Watershed Study: Fool Creek – E. St. Louis Creek

- To determine effect of timber harvest on streamflow
- Paired watershed study

Control used to assess changes in streamflow from timber harvest after a 15-year pretreatment calibration period

Fool Creek Watershed

1958 – 2 years after harvest



1982 – 26 years after harvest



from Troendle and King, 1985



Changes in Discharge Pattern Over Time for Fool Creek



Chart adapted from Alexander, et al., General Technical Report RM-118



Figure 2.5. Harvest-induced increases in water yield from Fool Creek versus the predicted natural water yield in the absence of any forest harvest. Data are from 1956-1982.

Fool Creek Change in Flow = b0 + b1*Time Post-Treatment Period: 1956-1998



Opening size and catch efficiency



Figure 2.--Snow retention as a function of clearcut size. H is height of surrounding trees (Troendle and Leaf, 1980).

Interception

- Sublimation is greater from vegetation than from ground
 - Higher temperature on leaf surface
 - Greater surface area
 - Trees radiate longwave radiation
 - Higher air temperature surrounding snow
- Cut trees to decrease interception
 - Increase snowpack
 - Increase water yield



Research Objectives

- Determine effects of beetle-killed forests on:
 - annual water yield
 - peak flows
 - water quality

Research Methods

- Beetle area damage mapping by USDA Forest Service
- > Using watersheds with USGS stations
- Determine beetle-killed area over time and space
- Using a paired watershed study approach
- Water quality sampling in select watersheds



Tenmile Creek Watershed

USGS Gauge #: 09050100 Area: 93.3 square miles Forested Area: 54.5 sqm (58%) Aspect: NE Mean Elevation: 11.190 ft Elevation Range: 9.115 ft - 13.915 ft

Open Water Perennial Ice/Snow Developed, Open Space Developed, Low Intensity Developed, Medium Intensity Developed, High Intensity Barren Land (Rock/Sand/Clay) Deciduous Forest Evergreen Forest Mixed Forest Shrub/Scrub Sedge/Herbaceous Pasture/Hay Woody Wetlands Emergent Herbaceous Wetlands Rivers, Streams Watershed Boundary 6 9

12



Tenmile Creek Watershed

USGS Gauge #: 09050100 Area: 93.3 square miles Forested Area: 54.5 sqm (58%) Aspect: NE Mean Elevation: 11,190 ft Elevation Range: 9,115 ft - 13,915 ft





Willow Creek Watershed

Area: 128.3 square miles Forested Area: 110.7 sqm (86%) Aspect: S Mean Elevation: 9,562 ft Elevation Range: 8,135 ft - 12,315 ft

Open Water Perennial Ice/Snow Developed, Open Space Developed, Low Intensity Developed, Medium Intensity Barren Land (Rock/Sand/Clay) Deciduous Forest Evergreen Forest Mixed Forest Shrub/Scrub Grassland/Herbaceous Pasture/Hay Cultivated Crops Woody Wetlands **Emergent Herbaceous Wetlands** Rivers, Streams Watershed Boundary 9



Willow Creek Watershed

Area: 128.3 square miles Forested Area: 110.7 sqm (86%) Aspect: S Mean Elevation: 9,562 ft Elevation Range: 8,135 ft - 12,315 ft









≥USGS

USGS 09304115 WHITE RIVER BELOW NORTH ELK CREEK NEAR BUFORD, CO



Annual water yield change



Annual water yield change





Even-aged forest





Uneven-aged forest



Water yield increases

- > Even-aged stands
 - Decreased interception and evapotranspiration
 - Increased water yield
- > Uneven aged stands
 - Regeneration or release of understory
 - No change in net precipitation
 - No increase in water yield

Change in peak flow



Water yield change detection

Recognize significant changes in annual hydrograph in Rocky Mountains
Precipitation is lower (slightly)
Runoff is earlier
Runoff peaks are higher, lower, or stay the same

Changes in all hydrograph metrics





Move to plot level studies

Water resources response at watershed level too variable

Move to plot or stand level

- Measure snow pack accumulation
- Thermal properties
- SWE and snowmelt generation

Water quality effects

Few studies of beetle kill on water quality
 Previous efforts suggest a nitrogen

response

Nitrogen response may be from lack of processing atmospheric inputs

Can use the effect of timber harvesting on water quality as analogue?

Water quality

- Background concentrations of nitrate are low, rarely above 10 ueq L⁻¹
- > A 15-20 tree gap increased soil water nitrogen – nitrification
- > Nitrogen responses to timber harvesting
- Plot increases often not measured at watershed level



Rhoades, McCutchan, et al., in prep.

Water quality

- Large addition of litter (leaf fall)
- Increased litter decomposition rates
- Foliage leaching, both on tree and forest floor
- Increased organic compound flux
 Potential increase in metal migration

Water quality concerns

- Increased primary productivity
- Increased color
- > Aesthetic issue
- Increased water treatment costs
- Increased organics may result in TTHM precursors

Beetle Management Plan

Timber salvage sales
Hazardous fuel reduction
Forest health spraying
Hazard tree reduction



Timber harvesting

> Hydrologic responses

- Decreased interception
- Increased water yield
- Increased snowmelt rates
- Soil compaction roads and tractor trails surface runoff
- Management activities >beetles?

Timber harvesting

Institutional constraints

- Congressional designation
- Roadless areas
- Harvest unit size nte 16 ha
- Wildlife habitat
 - Federally threatened Canada lynx
- > Economics
- > Physical constraints
 - Slopes >35%
 - Wetlands



Opening size and catch efficiency



Figure 2.--Snow retention as a function of clearcut size. H is height of surrounding trees (Troendle and Leaf, 1980).



Hazardous Fuel Reduction

> Wildland urban interface

- Mechanical treatment
- Timber harvesting
- Aggressive treatments on private property
- Increased fire risk after beetle kill not supported by literature





Forest Health Spraying

> On the ground spraying Carbaryl or Permethrin > High value areas Campgrounds, picnic areas, trailheads, scenic corridors, power lines Insecticide is expensive to apply More excursions found in surface waters



Hazard tree reduction

Improve public safety
 Too little to have an effect on beetles
 Too little to have an effect on water resources

Summary

> Water resource responses are variable Efforts to control outbreaks have failed Socio-economic not ecological crisis > Retain or create diverse forest structure include areas of dead trees Not always harvest as large clearcut > Adaptive management

Recommendations

- Better mapping of forest regeneration with and without timber harvesting
- Assess fire risk for various forest conditions
- Measure on-site meteorological conditions
- Use nested gauges to quantify streamflow changes and cumulative effects
- Increase water quality sampling